Welcome to the webinar. We will begin at the top of the hour.





Maximize the Revenue of Utility-Scale Energy Storage — Standalone or Hybrid

Introducing new HOMER[®] Front modeling software | 2022

Empowering Trust[®]

UL and the UL logo are trademarks of UL LLC © 2022.

Can't hear the audio?





If you do not have sound:

- 1. Go to the Audio tab.
- 2. Click on the phone bubble.
- 3. Click on the computer audio bubble.

Your questions are welcome



U





David Mintzer

Director, Energy Storage Advisory

UL Renewables





David Mintzer

Director, Energy Storage Advisory UL Renewables Product manager, Hybrids UL Renewables

Steffi Klawiter



David Mintzer

Director, Energy Storage Advisory UL Renewables

Steffi Klawiter

Product manager, Hybrids UL Renewables



Christina Duong

Energy market specialist UL Renewables



David Mintzer

Director, Energy Storage Advisory UL Renewables

Steffi Klawiter

Product manager, Hybrids UL Renewables



Christina Duong

Energy market specialist UL Renewables



Kyle Stauffer

Senior project engineer UL Renewables

Agenda

The role of energy storage in the clean energy transition Christina Duong

Challenges with system complexity David Mintzer

Challenges with system complexity: Markets Christina Duong

Challenges with system complexity: Storage Steffi Klawiter

View of HOMER® Front through case studies Steffi Klawiter



UL: A history of trust



10

Advanced solar and storage advisory services



Trusted global excellence in hybrid analysis

HOMER modeling sets the industry standard in more than 190 countries.



Projects worldwide 250,000 downloads, 90,000 projects modeled 3 million model runs, 193+ countries



HOMER Hybrid optimization of multiple energy resources

Front of the Meter

C&I

Generation

Behind the Meter

Residentia

.

FRONT-OF-THE-METER

UTILITY-SCALE STORAGE



Evaluate financial returns of energy storage, with or without solar and wind

- Maximize revenue and internal rate of return (IRR)
- Assess project feasibility
- Model storage dispatch, degradation and augmentation
- Validate revenue estimates of market participation and power purchase agreements (PPAs)

BEHIND-THE-METER

GRID-TIED DISTRIBUTED GENERATION



Reduce energy costs for grid-tied systems that serve a local load

- Reduce demand charges
- Increase resilience
- Optimize electric vehicle charging
- Model combined heat and power
- Optimize against electric tariff structure
- Understand carbon and emission reductions

STANDALONE MICROGRIDS



Find the best energy mix and least-cost solution for powering off-grid systems for islands, mines, telecom towers, outposts

- Reduce energy costs, increase reliability and add renewables to your off-grid system
- Simulate and optimize any combination of energy resources
- Perform advanced sensitivity analysis





Front-of-the-meter — storage, wind and solar

Utility-scale generation

Model utility-scale energy storage systems — stand-alone or combined with wind and solar.

Battery management

Dispatch based on hourly and sub-hourly price forecasts to model energy-shifting arbitrage and capacity market participation

Multiple revenue streams

Validate revenue projections of PPAs, whole energy participation (day-ahead and real-time) and capacity market participation.

System sizing

System sizing and optimization for PPAs, requests for proposal (RFPs) and information assurance (IA) compliance

Augmentation strategies

Advanced storage module to model degradation and augmentation strategies in use cases, including cycling, depth of discharge, throughput and time horizon



Role of energy storage in the clean energy transition



Christina Duong

UL and the UL logo are trademarks of UL LLC © 2022 15

Global cumulative energy storage installations, 2015-2030



Source: BloombergNEF. Note: MENA = Middle East & North Africa. Buffer represents markets and use-cases that we are unable to forecast due to lack of visibility.

Energy storage state targets as of July 2021

Total: 10,500 MW and 1,000 MWh

By the end of 2025

Massachusetts:	1,000 MWh
By the end of 2030	
New York*:	3,000 MW
New Jersey:	2,000 MW
Nevada:	1,000 MW
Connecticut:	1,000 MW
Maine:	400 MW
By the end of 2035	

3,100 MW

Virginia:





*February 2022: New York Gov. Kathy Hochul announced plans to double the state's energy storage deployment target to at least 6 GW by 2030.

Challenges with system complexity

David Mintzer

18

UL and the UL logo are trademarks of UL LLC © 2022



Energy storage considerations

• Energy storage is not monolithic: Choosing to include energy storage and deciding on the platform type requires careful consideration of the grid network, both physical and where the ecosystem is concerned.



- **Dispatch services:** Energy vs. power, high-power/high-frequency applications such as frequency regulation, long-duration energy, resiliency system could be stand-alone or hybrid with solar and wind
- **Off-take arrangements:** PPAs with time-shifting, wholesale energy, ancillary services, tolling arrangements, capacity agreement, transaction swap
- **Terms:** Contract duration, warranties, performance guarantees, energy capacity augmentation plan Are these consistent with the technology? Where are the risks?
- Architecture/technology: AC/DC-coupled, LFP, NMC, flow, other
- Other: Bankability; insurability; policy consistency; safety; certification, e.g., to UL 9540A, the Standard for Test Method for Evaluating Thermal Runaway Fire Propagation in Battery Energy Storage Systems; Investment Tax Credit (ITC); interconnection

Techno-economic analysis is essential for success

Integration

Integrates factors and enables comparing and screening the viability of multiple systems and configurations

• Value

Helps developers understand the value of including storage and de-risk project internal rate of return

• Sensitivity, project life and pipeline

Enables the design of a feasible system that addresses realistic performance constraints over the project lifetime; includes battery behavior and the viability impact of design choices, such as augmentation strategies







Techno-economic analysis is essential for success

Results

Helps project developers understand how to combine and compare multiple potential revenue opportunities, including:

- o Multiple energy markets
- o Capacity markets
- Power purchase agreements
- o Off-taker obligations

SE CASE – FEASIBILITY STUDY HIGHLIGHTS

Financial impact of adding energy storage to a utility-scale photovoltaic solar system **HOMER** Front

Challenge

A California-based developer wants to evaluate the revenue and impact of adding energy storage to a photovoltaic (PV) solar plant.

The developer needs to determine if adding energy storage to the solar plant will increase annual revenue and return on investment (ROI) and serve the load requirements of the plant's utility offtaker.

The utility offtaker needs to meet expected electrical demands and fulfill its commitments to greenhouse gas (CHG) emission reduction and renewable energy resources.

The project will participate in the California Independent System Operator (ISO) day-ahead and real-time energy wholesale and resource adequacy markets.

With the addition of storage, the offtaker will agree to a Capacity Services Agreement (CSA). The CSA allows the offtaker to use stored energy to meet resource adequacy (RA) requirements while allowing the developer to market excess capacity.

The developer must determine if additional revenues from the energy storage system are enough to offset the added costs of developing, installing, operating and maintaining the energy storage system.

Solution

UL conducted a feasibility study using HOMER® Front modeling software to analyze the financial impact of solar-plus-storage.

The team modeled participation in the wholesale energy markets using hourly and sub-hourly locational marginal pricing from a node within a primary North-South transmission line in California. The model also included a 2020 RA network event schedule in California ISO markets.

Analysis included solar resource generation data, battery operation, energy and capacity revenue and the financial impacts of construction costs. The analysis also evaluated ongoing operation and maintenance, including energy storage capacity degradation, augmentation and replacement strategies to determine the internal rate of return (RR).

(U)



Impact UL conducted an analysis with HOMER Front, providing the developer with expected financial results for a solar-plus-storage system.

Financial results

Initial equity investment – \$104 million 20-year internal rate of return – 7.8%

V Payback – 13 years









Challenges with system complexity: Markets

Christina Duong





Multiple revenue streams



Setup	2 Rev	enue Streams	Com	ponents	() In	icentives & Econ	omics		6 Results	
Sensitivity Table				Project Ca	ash Diagra	m				
Optimization Results PV: 100 kW LI ASM: 100 # Selected System Summary Pro Forma	40000 20000 0 -20000 -40000 -60000 -80000 -100000	.0. 1	2	3 4	3		7	3	,	16
Time Series Graphic	- 120000			- Annua	d - Cumulative					
	Rules You	DV De Austra (NIR)	from The August	a Barran Tank (D)	Tanio				6	
	0	152464.309375	67164.59075	8	o .	and expenses (s)	-106921	ig income (b)	-105921	a repert
	,	152454.109375	67164.59875	7377.661896	7377.661896		11400.874896	,	-95520.125104	
	2	152464.109375	67164.59875	7377.461896	7377.661896		15210.886894	e .	-60309.2262080	0001
	3	152464.109375	67164.59375	7377.461096	7377.661896		11471.629296		-68837.3969120	0001
	4	152464.709375	67164.39875	7377,461096	7377.641894		9161.107336		-59576.4093760	0001
	5	152464.109375	67164.59075	7377.461096	7377.661896		8463.133534		-50813.3338400	0001
		152464.109375	67164.39375	7377.461096	7377.661896		7443.262216		-43370.0716340	0001

HOMER Front helps project developers understand how to combine and compare multiple potential revenue sources, including multiple energy markets, capacity markets, power purchase agreements and off-taker obligations.

Energy markets — energy arbitrage

- Day-ahead market
- Fifteen-minute market
- Real-time market

Capacity market — resource adequacy Contract — delivery obligations

Model setup — energy markets

Setup	2 Application	B Equipment	- A Project Economics
Energy Market	Capacity Market Time of Delivery Contract	Comparent	
Energy Market			
Import Energy Price (\$/MWh)		
Import ?	Energy Price <>	C Energy Price Escalator (%/yr)	5
YWW/\$			
	18 18 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		
	Average Price (\$/MWh): 33.41 🚱		
	Setup Energy Market Energy Market Day-ahead Import Energy Price (Import	<pre> setp</pre>	<pre> sup expression function expression function function</pre>

Softw are screen captures throughout are owned by UL

Model setup — capacity market and other revenue streams



Supports renumeration for reserved capacity and additional revenue opportunity for dispatched energy

Setup	2 Application		3 Equip	ment	4 Projec	t Economics
 Energy Market Capacity Market 	Time of Delivery Contract					
Program Schedule Define when to participate in capacity i	market		?	Capacity Market Events Define when capacity market events	are called (random or sc	heduled)
	eek			• Random Events O Specific Date	es	
Participate Week Week Week	idays iends			Number of events per year		10
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul 00:00 01:00 02:00 03:00 03:00 04:00 04:00 04:00 04:00 05:00 04:00 0	Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec			Max events per month		10
Capacity Price			0	Energy Price		
Define \$/kW-mo	б			Define price at which energy discharg	ged during events shall l	be compensated
Capacity price escalator (%/yr)	196/y	/ear ▼		Day-ahead Flat rate (\$/MWh)	5% escalation, as o	lefined in Energy Market Sectior

Model setup — power purchase agreements, time of delivery



UL and the UL logo are trademarks of UL LLC © 2022. | v. 01/22

Softw are screen captures throughout are owned by UL

Challenges with system complexity: Storage

Steffi Klawiter

UL and the UL logo are trademarks of UL LLC © 2022.

Energy capacity decreases

Storage health depends on:

- Cycling
- Thermal
- Calendar

Mitigating capacity loss in batteries

300

MWh

Every successful project needs an energy capacity degradation plan.

- Cycling limits
- Oversizing
- Augmentation

Augmentation Capacity

- Minimum Usable Energy Capacity (MWh)
- Augmentation Capacity (MWh)

HOMER Front case studies

Compare two storage technologies
 Compare augmentation price forecasts
 Evaluate assumptions to de-risk project

Steffi Klawiter

30

HOMER Front

Empowering Trust[®]

UL and the UL logo are trademarks of UL LLC © 2022

Maximize value of adding storage and de-risk internal rate of return

- Energy Storage (1 MW / 4 MWh) Component Revenue / Real-time Market - Solar PV Component Revenue / Real-time Market

Maximize value of adding storage and de-risk internal rate of return

Test multiple system sizes and configurations and integrate energy market revenue

Setup Application Equipment Project Economics Projeconomics Project Economics <t< th=""><th>Stup O Aplication O Expriment O Project Economics O Projeconomics O Project Economic</th><th>IOMER Front</th><th></th><th></th><th>C</th><th>alitornia Example</th><th>e (last saved 4/4/20</th><th>022 4:55 PM)</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>l</th><th>Project Listing</th></t<>	Stup O Aplication O Expriment O Project Economics O Projeconomics O Project Economic	IOMER Front			C	alitornia Example	e (last saved 4/4/20	022 4:55 PM)						l	Project Listing
ensitivity Subel targe: NVMMMM: Jugenetation Degustation Target Suber and spratnice Celestical defected Overview informance defaults C incorpt System Architectar Target Suber Target Suber Suber Suber Suber	exclusion 122 C on cpl by System Architettar C and a prime and a of apminution C on cpl by System Architettar C and a on control of appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C and a on control of appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C and a on control of appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122 exclusion 22 C on cpl by System Architettar C appinution 122	Setup	Application			3 Equ	ipment			Project	Economics				5 Results
Configuration Results and optimization and optimization Configuration (and inclusion) Configuration (and inclusion) Configuration (and inclusion) Configuration (and inclusion) Product (and inclusion) <th< th=""><th>Instruction Results Configuration with the set of section of the set of section of the set of the set of the set of the section of the set of</th><th>iensitivity Table torage 1MW4MWh: Augmentation Degradation Limit : 10 %</th><th>Group By Double click on</th><th>/ System Architect a row to see simulations</th><th>ure O Show _{details}</th><th>All</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>	Instruction Results Configuration with the set of section of the set of section of the set of the set of the set of the section of the set of	iensitivity Table torage 1MW4MWh: Augmentation Degradation Limit : 10 %	Group By Double click on	/ System Architect a row to see simulations	ure O Show _{details}	All									
eff of optimization Vision Energy State Connector Mode RIM RA Capacity Al Energy Capital Expense Optimization No. RA Pape Action elected Overview 116 10/40 5 54,42,290 53,22,194 580,730 512,945,776 54,970,94 94,551,540 10,59 7,2 100 10/400 5 513,359,421 52,714,570 540,800 512,984,114 5485,754 539,024 539,024 539,024 539,024,104 513,524 539,024 514,0400 513,024 539,024 516,032,24 559,041,04 511,040,08 513,024 539,024,104 513,024,090 513,024 539,024,104 514,0400 513,024 514,014 514,0400 513,024 514,014 514,0400 513,024 514,014 514,024 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 514,014 5	of expendence Produce Terresponde Convertee DAM BL RA Capabe Terresponde NV RR Produce elected Overview entermente datas 116 100 / 400 55 5142.599 5522.518 5129.509 5129.501 5439.514 <td< th=""><th>Optimization Results</th><th>Configuration</th><th>and Sizing</th><th></th><th>Revenue</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Cost</th><th></th><th>Project Economic</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>	Optimization Results	Configuration	and Sizing		Revenue				Cost		Project Economic			
116 100/400 95 14,45,269 53,02,399 52,24,140 580,738 54,97,94 54,58,14 54,57,94 54,57,94 54,57,94 54,57,94 54,57,94 54,57,94 54,57,94 54,57,94 54,57,94 54,57,94 54,57,94 54,57,94 54,57,94 54,59,24 54,59	Init Init <th< th=""><th>ist of optimization</th><th>PV Solar (MW)</th><th>Energy Storage (MW / MWb)</th><th>Converter (MW)</th><th>DAM (Sr(yr)</th><th>RTM (Srbyt)</th><th>RA Capacity (\$/yi)</th><th>RA Energy (S/yr)</th><th>Capital Expenses (5)</th><th>Operating Expenses (S/yr)</th><th>NPV (5)</th><th></th><th>Payback ()*)</th><th></th></th<>	ist of optimization	PV Solar (MW)	Energy Storage (MW / MWb)	Converter (MW)	DAM (Sr(yr)	RTM (Srbyt)	RA Capacity (\$/yi)	RA Energy (S/yr)	Capital Expenses (5)	Operating Expenses (S/yr)	NPV (5)		Payback ()*)	
100 100/400 95 \$13,39,421 \$2,74,937 \$5,042,00 \$57,099 \$12,00,144 \$4,85,754 \$5,08,954 1,04 9 116 190/600 95 \$16,175,13 \$2,70,357 \$6,52,197 \$16,80,224 \$6,96,144 \$1,42,498 \$6,420 \$8,21 \$1,42,498 \$6,420 \$8,21 \$1,42,498 \$6,420 \$1,42,498 \$6,420 \$1,42,498 \$6,420 \$1,42,498 \$6,400 \$1,42,498 \$6,400 \$1,42,498 \$6,400 \$1,42,498 \$6,400 \$1,42,498 \$6,400 \$1,42,498 \$6,400 \$1,42,498 \$6,400 \$1,42,498 \$6,400 \$1,42,498 \$6,400 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$6,400 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$1,42,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 \$1,428,498 <td< td=""><td>100 100,400 9 101,394,241 12,74,927 55,044,200 575,039 512,164,144 545,154 538,456 54,210,114 538,456 543,214 546,814 513,456 543,400 55 100 190,460 5 54,177,143 52,519 56,552,51 56,552,31 56,522,44 58,461,40 514,464 6,40 53 c </td><td>elected Overview eformance details</td><td>116</td><td>100 / 400</td><td>95</td><td>\$14,452,890</td><td>\$3,023,099</td><td>\$5,224,184</td><td>\$580,738</td><td>\$129,445,776</td><td>\$4,978,094</td><td>\$45,931,948</td><td>10.59</td><td>7.7</td><td>^</td></td<>	100 100,400 9 101,394,241 12,74,927 55,044,200 575,039 512,164,144 545,154 538,456 54,210,114 538,456 543,214 546,814 513,456 543,400 55 100 190,460 5 54,177,143 52,519 56,552,51 56,552,31 56,522,44 58,461,40 514,464 6,40 53 c	elected Overview eformance details	116	100 / 400	95	\$14,452,890	\$3,023,099	\$5,224,184	\$580,738	\$129,445,776	\$4,978,094	\$45,931,948	10.59	7.7	^
116 190,400 95 516,175,13 52,740,305 56,25,19 516,802,224 56,96,14 51,44,469 6.4 9.8 100 150,400 95 514,771,493 52,315,19 56,05,581 561,048 515,285,592 56,824,142 52,463,741 6.10 10.3 515,285,592 56,824,142 52,463,741 6.10 10.3 515,285,592 56,824,142 52,463,741 6.10 10.3 57,774,743 55,755,755 55,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 57,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755,755 56,755	116 159 / 600 95 161 / 173 / 13 52,743,35 525,271 555,210 516,482,24 54,64,84 51,04,689 6.4 9.8 100 159 / 600 9 54,477,483 52,36,510 563,510 51,048 51,928,552 56,824,162 52,46,741 6.10 16.3 1 <td< td=""><td></td><td>100</td><td>100 / 400</td><td>95</td><td>\$13,359,421</td><td>\$2,714,937</td><td>\$5,044,200</td><td>\$575,029</td><td>\$121,904,144</td><td>\$4,855,754</td><td>\$39,033,456</td><td>10.16</td><td>7.9</td><td></td></td<>		100	100 / 400	95	\$13,359,421	\$2,714,937	\$5,044,200	\$575,029	\$121,904,144	\$4,855,754	\$39,033,456	10.16	7.9	
100 150/600 95 54,771,493 52,56,519 56,655,581 563,048 5159,285,592 56,824,162 52,460,741 6.10 10.3			116	150 / 600	95	\$16,176,513	\$2,740,305	\$6,239,271	\$635,219	\$166,830,224	\$6,964,814	\$11,424,698	6.84	9.8	
			100	150 / 600	95	\$14,771,493	\$2,516,519	\$6.055.501	\$631.048	\$150 288 502	66 034 163	63 463 741	6.10		
•	•							30,033,301	2021,040	3133,200,332	30,024,102	\$2,400,741	0.10	10.3	~
			¢					30,033,201			30,00%,102	32,400,741	0.10	10.3	•
			٤								J ₀ (x), N 2	<u></u>	6.10	10.3	•

Maximize value of adding storage and de-risk internal rate of return

Test multiple system sizes and configurations and integrate energy market revenue

Perform sensitivity and risk analysis — model batteries with degradation and augmentation strategies

Maximize value of adding storage and de-risk internal rate of return

Test multiple system sizes and configurations and integrate energy market revenue

Perform sensitivity and risk analysis model batteries with degradation and augmentation strategies

Third-party tool informs stakeholders, enabling collaboration internally and externally

Robust tool for evaluating technical and economic viability of utility-scale systems

Learn more and get started!

- Go to <u>https://www.homerenergy.com/products</u> <u>/front/</u>
- Fill in the form.
- Get a demonstration video and example feasibility study.

USE CASE - FEASIBILITY STUDY HIGHLIGHTS

Financial impact of adding energy storage to a utility-scale photovoltaic solar system **HOMER** Front

Challenge

A California-based developer wants to evaluate the revenue and impact of adding energy storage to a photovoltaic (PV) solar plant.

The developer needs to determine if adding energy storage to the solar plant will increase annual revenue and return on investment (ROI) and serve the load requirements of the plant's utility offtaker.

The utility offtaker needs to meet expected electrical demands and fulfill its commitments to greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reduction and renewable energy resources.

The project will participate in the California Independent System Operator (ISO) day-ahead and real-time energy wholesale and resource adequacy markets.

With the addition of storage, the offtaker will agree to a Capacity Services Agreement (CSA). The CSA allows the offtaker to use stored energy to meet resource adequacy (RA) requirements while allowing the developer to market excess capacity.

The developer must determine if additional revenues from the energy storage system are enough to offset the added costs of developing, installing, operating and maintaining the energy storage system.

Solution

UL conducted a feasibility study using HOMER® Front modeling software to analyze the financial impact of solar-plus-storage.

The team modeled participation in the wholesale energy markets using hourly and sub-hourly locational marginal pricing from a node within a primary North-South transmission line in California. The model also included a 2020 RA network event schedule in California ISO markets.

Analysis included solar resource generation data, battery operation, energy and capacity revenue and the financial impacts of construction costs. The analysis also evaluated ongoing operation and maintenance, including energy storage capacity degradation, augmentation and replacement strategies to determine the internal rate of return (IRR).

Impact UL conducted an analysis with HOMER Front, providing the developer with expected finance

providing the developer with expected financial results for a solar-plus-storage system.

Financial results Initial equity investment – \$104 million

Year-one revenue
 6.9M Resource adequacy - capacity
 2.4M Resource Adequacy - day-ahead
 2.4M Day-ahead energy market
 2.6M Real-time energy market

 PV solar
 Northern
 PV 100 MW
 Time of delivery

 PV solar
 Northern
 PV 100 MW
 Time of delivery

 storage
 U.S.
 MW/400 MW
 Real time

Experience the power

Remote, standalone microgrids homerenergy.com/TryPro

Grid-connected DERs homerenergy.com/TryGrid

Utility-scale storage with or without solar and wind <u>www.homerenergy.com</u>

www.UL.com/HOMERsoftware

Questions?

David Mintzer

Director, Energy Storage Advisory UL Renewables

Steffi Klawiter

Product manager, Hybrids UL Renewables

Christina Duong

Energy market specialist UL Renewables

Kyle Stauffer

Senior project engineer UL Renewables

Upcoming events

We'd love to meet you at:

American CleanPower, San Antonio, May 16-18

Microgrid 2022, Philadelphia, June 1-2

- HOMER Technology Workshop June 2, 2:45 p.m.
- Use the code HOMERVIP for a 10% discount
- Learn more at microgridknowledge.com/microgrid-2022/

Upcoming webinars: Date to be announced

- CESA Spotlight Webinar: Enhancing the value of solar with storage
- HOMER Front: Focus on California Market

Join us at Microgrid 2022 **Microgrids as Climate Heroes** Philadelphia June 1-2, 2022

Featuring

HOMER Technology Workshop: Destination Hybrid Success June 2, 2:45 PM EDT

Peter Lilienthal, Ph.D. UL Microgrid Lead HOMER Software Creator

Eduardo Guerra, UL HOMER Grid Lead

Thank you!

Empowering Trust[®]

UL and the UL logo are trademarks of UL LLC © 2022. Proprietary and confidential